

Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

281.3449
71343

Federal Milk Order Market Statistics

JANUARY 1962 SUMMARY

Issued March 1962

U. S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE
NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY

DEC 10 1964

JANUARY HIGHLIGHTS CURRENT SERIAL RECORDS

PRODUCER DELIVERIES UP 6.9 PERCENT; CLASS I SALES UP 3.1 PERCENT

63 PERCENT OF MILK DELIVERIES USED IN CLASS I

MINIMUM CLASS I PRICE \$4.99; BLEND PRICE \$4.39

IN-AREA WHOLE MILK SALES UP 2.5 PERCENT

* CLASS I UTILIZATION PERCENTAGE LOWER IN 1961 *
* *
* Changes in Class I utilization percentages result from *
* changes in the relationship between the volumes of *
* producer deliveries and Class I sales. In 1961, *
* Class I sales were up; however, producer deliveries *
* increased even more and resulted in a lower Class I *
* utilization percentage. As shown in tables 1 and 1a, *
* the Class I utilization percentage in 1961 was 59 per- *
* cent. This was based on 60 markets under Federal *
* order regulation during the entire 5-year period, *
* 1957-61, and was the lowest utilization percentage *
* reported during the period. *
* *
* See page 5 *

TABLES

Table No.

Page No.

1. Percentage of receipts from producers used in Class I by handlers regulated under 60 Federal milk order markets, 1957-61	4
2. Number of producers, average daily deliveries of milk per producer, and average percentage of butterfat in such milk, Federal order markets, January 1962, with comparisons	6
3. Federal milk order minimum Class I and market average blend prices for milk at 3.5 percent butterfat content, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, receipts of milk from producers, and producer milk in Class I, January 1962, with comparisons	8
4. Minimum prices established by Federal milk orders, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, for milk at basic test with butterfat differentials, January 1962.	10
5. Federal milk order Class I formula price information and gross Class I usage (with comparisons), January 1962	12
6. Whole milk, skim milk items, milk and cream mixtures, and cream sold in marketing areas defined by Federal milk orders, for markets where such information is available, December 1961, with comparisons.	14
7. Prices and economic indexes in Federal milk order formulas to determine January 1962 prices, with comparisons.	16

MAJOR ORDER ACTIONS, JANUARY 1962

Amendments:

Connecticut (January 1, except Class I seasonal pricing, which is effective March 1, 1962). A change in status of producer-handlers obtaining packaged milk from nonpool sources. Introduction of a system for pooling two cooperative supply plants as a unit August through November. Change in monthly seasonal amounts in Class II formula. Minor changes in classification, in location differential, and in accounting of bulk tank milk and diversion allowance.

Kansas City (January 1). Marketing area expanded. Change in requirements by pool plants to maintain regulated status. Modify Class I pricing formula by reducing the amount of change due to the supply demand adjustor and makes a compensating adjustment in the Class I differential. Revision in the location differential.

Northeastern Ohio (January 1). Primary action bases Class III price on Minnesota-Wisconsin price series with a ceiling of ten cents above the butter-powder price. Minor changes in the classification of fortified items; lowers pooling requirements for cooperative standby plants; Class I price announced on current month basis; reduction in location differential, placing a limit on adjustment for Class II, and adds Ashtabula as a basing point; and minor changes in transfer provision to nonpool plants.

St. Louis (January 1). Amendment reduces shipping requirements that a supply plant must make to a city plant, from 30 percent to 20 percent.

Suspensions:

Louisville-Lexington (January 1). The floor price for Class I milk of 3.8 butterfat test is continued at \$4.603, January through March 1962.

Kansas City (January 20). Supply plant to qualify during January 1962, on the basis of any shipments to a pool distributing plant.

ERATA

FMOS-24, table 1, page 4. Effective date for Omaha-Council Bluffs should have read 4-5-39 instead of 4-5-59

FEDERAL MILK ORDER MARKET STATISTICS

January Highlights

In this and forthcoming issues of this publication in 1962, comparisons will be made between data for the months of 1962 and corresponding months of 1961. In tables 2, 3, and 5, the "comparable" market totals and averages are based on data for 77 markets. These are the markets which were regulated during the entire year of 1961 and which were under regulation during January 1962. This number may be decreased during the year if markets are merged. However, any new markets which come under regulation during the year will not be included in the comparable market total, since no 1961 data will be available for purposes of comparison.

The data shown in tables 2, 3, and 5 for this group of markets are comparable only to the extent that data are available for corresponding months in both 1962 and 1961. The data in these tables are not adjusted for marketing area changes. Thus, during the course of the year, unusually large percentage changes may be shown for a market or markets where the defined marketing area is expanded substantially. Depending upon the nature of the expansion, large changes may result in the data for individual markets. Other factors, too, may cause unusually large changes in data for individual markets. Chief among these is an occasional plant shift where the plant changes from one order to regulation under a different order. The effect of these changes may be especially pronounced in data for such things as producer receipts, producer milk used in Class I, and gross Class I use. Except in the largest markets, however, the effect of changes in an individual market will not greatly influence the total or average for all comparable markets.

The in-area sales data shown in table 6 represent total sales in defined marketing areas. In 1962, data will be shown for 68 markets. These data, unlike those in the other tables, will be adjusted for marketing area changes and are more nearly comparable than are the other data reported. Sales data shown in this table are for four product groupings: whole milk, skim milk items, mixtures, and cream. Sales of individual products are combined and reported in the appropriate groupings. If separate data are needed for each individual product, reference should be made to the annual supplements to Statistical Bulletin 248. The supplement for 1961 will be published later this year, probably by October 1962.

There is a lag of one month between the in-area sales data and the data in the other tables. For example, in-area sales data shown in table 6 of this month's report are for December 1961-60, while all other tables contain data for January 1962-61. This is due to differences in reporting and data processing schedules. However, in order to keep the in-area sales data more current, sales estimates of whole milk, the largest grouping, will be reported on this page for the month covered by the report.

Class I sales. Total Class I sales in and out of defined marketing areas, "Gross Class I", were higher this month than in January 1961 in 58 of the 77 markets. The total for the 77 markets was 3.1 percent above sales in January of last year (see table 5).

Receipts from producers. Milk deliveries by producers continued to be above deliveries a year earlier. Deliveries to handlers in the 77 markets totaled 4.1 billion pounds this month, 6.9 percent higher than deliveries made in January 1961.

Producer deliveries used in Class I. As a result of the increase in Class I sales, a larger volume of milk delivered by producers was used for Class I purposes. However, the even greater increase in deliveries caused a drop in the percentage of deliveries used in Class I. This month the Class I utilization percentage was 63 percent; in January 1961, it was 65 percent.

Minimum prices. Minimum Class I and blend prices in January were off in nearly all of the 77 markets. Class I prices were lower in 69 of the markets; blend prices were lower in 68 markets. Class I prices dropped mainly as a result of a decrease from January 1961 of 24 cents in the midwest condensery price used in many basic formulas while blend prices dropped as a result of the lower Class I prices and lower Class I utilization percentages. The weighted average minimum Class I price for the 77 markets was \$4.99; the blend price was \$4.35.

Whole milk sales. Total in-area sales of whole milk in January for 68 markets are estimated to be 2.5 percent above sales in those areas January a year ago. Complete December in-area sales data for all four product groupings are shown in table 6.

Table 1.--Percentage of receipts from producers used in Class I by handlers regulated under 60 Federal milk order markets, 1957-61

Region and market	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	Region and market	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961
	Percent						Percent				
NEW ENGLAND						WEST NORTH CENTRAL -Con.					
Boston	56.4	54.7	60.5	58.0	53.8	St. Louis	79.2	80.8	78.7	74.7	71.1
Springfield	76.0	75.0	74.6	74.8	71.6	Ozarks	67.5	71.1	72.0	70.9	64.8
Worcester	81.5	77.5	77.5	77.3	72.1	Kansas City	73.4	77.1	72.4	70.7	66.6
						Neosho Valley	72.0	76.4	70.4	62.1	59.5
MIDDLE ATLANTIC						Wichita	72.5	76.0	68.9	65.3	64.2
New York-New Jersey	53.6	55.1	55.1	51.7	49.0	Southwest Kansas	71.2	81.4	76.2	76.2	64.3
Philadelphia	74.4	75.5	74.1	72.3	72.1						
						EAST SOUTH CENTRAL					
SOUTH ATLANTIC						Louisville-Lexington	69.1	71.6	73.2	73.8	64.7
Wilmington	83.0	90.4	92.1	90.9	80.2	Paducah	88.2	91.3	94.3	90.5	89.2
Wheeling	83.7	80.7	78.6	77.9	79.1	Nashville	71.6	80.1	81.9	78.3	74.0
Clarksburg	83.8	78.8	83.4	81.2	81.7	Memphis	89.9	89.5	89.5	88.9	90.4
Tri-State	85.3	86.6	88.1	86.1	82.5	Knoxville	78.4	83.8	84.0	80.4	76.9
Appalachian	85.4	88.9	91.0	90.0	84.1	Chattanooga	76.8	78.9	79.1	81.5	73.6
						Central Mississippi	74.6	79.3	78.6	78.7	67.8
EAST NORTH CENTRAL											
Upstate Michigan	80.3	77.8	76.5	71.6	67.1	WEST SOUTH CENTRAL					
Muskegon	78.3	76.0	79.1	75.7	69.6	Central Arkansas	91.5	90.4	87.1	88.7	89.7
Southern Michigan	69.0	65.7	63.7	68.3	63.0	Fort Smith	76.5	86.1	80.3	84.1	87.7
Toledo	88.8	89.1	85.6	83.2	77.4	Oklahoma Metropolitan	75.3	76.6	73.6	73.1	65.4
Northeastern Ohio	75.8	71.4	79.1	69.1	61.4	Texas Panhandle	89.9	88.2	89.6	85.0	73.4
North Central Ohio	84.7	86.3	86.6	83.3	77.4						
Columbus	79.2	79.5	83.1	79.1	76.9	Northern Louisiana	94.1	94.2	94.5	88.1	85.0
Dayton-Springfield	74.7	76.9	80.7	74.0	70.8	New Orleans	71.8	74.0	73.1	69.9	64.5
Cincinnati	64.4	67.3	69.4	65.7	64.5	North Texas	76.4	76.6	70.6	73.7	69.3
						Central West Texas	86.1	88.6	86.3	83.2	80.3
Milwaukee	79.1	77.4	79.4	79.3	77.2	Austin-Waco	87.7	92.9	93.6	93.2	90.4
Rockford-Freeport	75.0	79.7	86.3	87.1	76.1	San Antonio	95.2	88.4	91.0	86.7	79.5
Chicago	47.0	45.0	45.6	45.0	41.9	Corpus Christi	94.0	94.9	92.4	92.1	86.8
South Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart	70.8	73.0	77.0	74.1	73.2						
Fort Wayne	61.0	59.9	61.9	63.3	71.4	MOUNTAIN					
						Central Arizona	86.0	83.8	77.8	81.2	78.7
WEST NORTH CENTRAL											
Duluth-Superior	44.1	45.5	47.9	52.9	54.6	PACIFIC					
Minneapolis-St. Paul	63.8	65.1	68.4	67.0	62.6	Puget Sound	57.9	54.8	54.1	50.7	48.0
Eastern South Dakota	89.2	78.4	69.1	76.6	71.0	Inland Empire	74.8	72.9	72.4	71.9	73.6
Sioux Falls-Mitchell	68.2	66.7	66.7	71.6	72.8						
Black Hills	79.0	76.6	78.2	76.4	75.2	60 market average 1/	63.6	63.5	63.9	62.4	59.0
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City	62.1	69.1	83.5	76.7	60.5						
Quad Cities-Dubuque	52.4	55.7	59.5	62.0	64.4						
Sioux City	73.6	78.5	80.7	76.9	64.9						
Nebraska-Western Iowa	71.7	80.3	80.9	80.3	74.6						

1/ Markets where orders were in effect during entire period, 1957-61.

CLASS I UTILIZATION PERCENTAGE LOWER IN 1961

In classified pricing plans provided by Federal milk orders, the percentage of producer milk used for Class I purposes is a major factor influencing the average or blend price paid to producers. Thus, any decline in the Class I utilization percentage is of much interest and concern to producers and others active in the dairy industry.

Changes in Class I utilization percentages result from changes in the relationship between the volumes of producer deliveries and Class I sales. In 1961, Class I sales were up; however, producer deliveries increased even more and resulted in a lower Class I utilization percentage. As shown in tables 1 and 1a, the Class I utilization percentage in 1961 was 59 percent. This was based on 60 markets under Federal order regulation during the entire 5-year period, 1957-61, and was the lowest utilization percentage reported during the period.

Table 1a.--Milk received from producers, volume and percentage of such milk used in Class I 1957-61 1/

Year	Producer Receipts	Class I Use	Percentage of Receipts in Class I
	<u>1,000 lb.</u>	<u>1,000 lb.</u>	<u>Percent</u>
1957	33,232,344	21,128,490	63.6
1958	35,537,616	22,558,055	63.5
1959	36,485,727	23,315,130	63.9
1960	39,015,599	24,361,207	62.4
1961	41,473,496	24,473,237	59.0

1/ 60 markets under Federal order regulation entire five-year period.

The 60-market average Class I utilization percentage was strongly influenced by relatively low Class I utilization in several large markets. For example, in 1961, if New York, Chicago, Boston, and Puget Sound were excluded from this group of markets, the 56 remaining markets had an average Class I utilization of 69.4 percent.

The classification provisions in some of these markets differ from those in many others and are partially responsible for their relatively low Class I utilization. In Chicago, for example, Class II includes cream and milk and cream mixtures, premium-priced products which are classified in Class I in most markets. If these products were in Class I in Chicago as in most other markets, the percentage of deliveries used in Class I in this market would have been considerably higher than the percentages reported. Also, since Chicago is the second largest Federal order market, this increase in Class I would have had some influence on the 60-market average Class I utilization percentage.

Table 2.--Number of producers, average daily deliveries of milk per producer, and average percentage of butterfat in such milk, Federal order markets, January 1962, with comparisons

Marketing area	Number of producers		Daily deliveries per producer		Average butterfat percentage	
	Jan. 1962	Change from Jan. 1961	Jan. 1962	Change from Jan. 1961	January	
					1962	1961
					Percent	Percent
	Number	Number	Pounds	Percent	Percent	Percent
NEW ENGLAND						
Boston	9,250	-328	568	+13.4	1/	3.92
Springfield	821	- 81	648	+ 3.3	1/	3.84
Worcester	885	-316	719	+18.4	1/	3.82
Southeastern N.England:	2,129	-205	866	+10.4	3.71	3.74
Connecticut	3,005	-153	1,013	+ 8.4	3.73	3.74
Group 2/	16,090	-1,083	703	+11.0	3.71	3.74
MIDDLE ATLANTIC						
New York-New Jersey	47,154	-1,207	630	+ 7.3	3.64	3.63
Philadelphia	6,143	-994	708	+11.8	3.86	3.84
Group 2/	53,297	-2,201	639	+ 7.9	3.67	3.66
SOUTH ATLANTIC						
Wilmington	766	+560	804	-10.8	3.81	3.79
Upper Chesapeake Bay	2,330	+ 43	807	+12.2	3.93	3.86
Washington, D. C.	2,519	+169	1,110	+ 2.8	3.87	3.82
Wheeling	961	- 78	458	+16.2	3.93	3.92
Clarksburg	344	-108	491	+21.5	3.90	4.00
Tri-State	1,630	+ 41	465	+ 9.2	3.88	3.89
Appalachian	875	- 28	736	+10.8	3.89	3.83
Southeastern Florida	93	- 8	15,430	+10.6	4.00	4.02
Group 2/	9,518	+591	918	+ 7.2	3.91	3.88
EAST NORTH CENTRAL						
<u>Eastern Group</u>						
Upstate Michigan	261	- 3	620	+17.6	3.79	3.76
Muskegon	421	+ 7	767	+18.0	3.74	3.73
Southern Michigan	14,213	+114	672	+12.8	3.75	3.74
Toledo	1,146	- 27	877	+10.7	3.91	3.88
Northeastern Ohio	8,502	-385	573	+12.1	3.83	3.79
North Central Ohio	1,027	-166	663	+12.2	3.98	3.94
Columbus	1,329	-168	815	+28.1	3.97	3.92
Dayton-Springfield	1,679	-233	737	+14.1	4.01	3.95
Cincinnati	3,778	-160	442	+13.9	3.87	3.88
Youngstown-Warren	1,127	---	604	---	3.81	---
Group 2/	32,356	-1,021	636	+13.6	3.82	3.80
<u>Western Group</u>						
Mich. Upper Peninsula	653	- 35	508	+14.7	3.73	3.75
Northeastern Wisconsin:	1,389	+ 81	897	+ 7.6	3.75	3.68
Milwaukee	2,176	+238	938	+11.5	3.79	3.74
Rockford-Freeport	244	+ 49	948	+12.4	3.74	3.72
Chicago	18,450	-360	901	+10.8	3.70	3.67
S. Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart:	711	-447	758	+ 4.1	3.97	3.98
Fort Wayne	855	+ 10	599	+46.1	4.00	3.89
Ohio Valley	1,105	+112	562	+10.8	4.00	3.93
Indianapolis	4,363	---	566	---	4.02	---
Suburban St. Louis	1,666	+ 36	529	+ 9.3	3.92	3.84
Group 2/	27,249	-316	845	+11.3	3.74	3.71
WEST NORTH CENTRAL						
<u>Northern Group</u>						
Duluth-Superior	988	- 92	473	+ 6.3	3.89	3.82
Minneapolis-St. Paul	3,670	+304	855	+ 3.5	3.69	3.68
Eastern South Dakota	129	+ 8	903	+ 9.0	3.51	3.49
Sioux Falls-Mitchell	310	- 4	922	+ 6.1	3.66	3.55
Black Hills	119	+ 2	1,023	- 2.6	3.75	3.65
North Central Iowa	851	- 55	944	+ 5.0	3.78	3.76
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City:	797	+ 82	751	+ 5.9	3.87	3.82
Quad Cities-Dubuque	766	- 6	839	+ 8.8	3.82	3.83
Des Moines	1,045	+ 10	772	+ 4.2	3.84	3.83
Sioux City	176	0	1,045	+ .4	3.82	3.72
Nebraska-Western Iowa	1,734	+ 37	813	+12.8	3.86	3.78
Group 2/	10,585	+286	809	+ 6.3	3.77	3.74

Continued

Table 2.--Number of producers, average daily deliveries of milk per producer, and average percentage of butterfat in such milk, Federal order markets, January 1962, with comparisons -Continued

Marketing area	Number of producers		Daily deliveries per producer		Average butterfat percentage	
	Jan. 1962	Change from Jan. 1961	Jan. 1962	Change from Jan. 1961	January	
					1962	1961
	Number	Number	Pounds	Percent	Percent	Percent
WEST NORTH CENT. -Con.						
<u>Southern Group</u>						
St. Joseph	467	---	785	---	3.86	---
St. Louis	3,007	- 76	659	+10.0	3.97	3.93
Ozarks	1,111	+ 73	522	+21.7	4.27	4.27
Kansas City	2,567	-269	759	+ 7.4	3.87	3.79
Neosho Valley	615	- 62	653	+14.4	3.93	3.94
Wichita	934	- 24	857	+12.9	3.89	3.81
Southwest Kansas	193	- 74	1,027	+15.9	3.95	3.87
Group 2/	8,427	-432	700	+10.6	3.95	3.89
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL						
Louisville-Lexington	2,259	- 46	635	+ 8.2	3.90	3.86
Paducah	495	- 56	481	+11.3	4.26	4.15
Nashville	1,395	- 86	658	+17.1	4.15	4.17
Memphis	1,110	+150	717	+ 9.8	4.18	4.25
Knoxville	812	- 96	670	+20.1	3.99	3.97
Chattanooga	685	+ 3	691	+ 7.5	4.40	4.46
Mississippi Delta	410	+ 40	704	- 2.8	4.49	4.43
Central Mississippi	824	- 53	627	+ 2.3	4.30	4.30
Mississippi Gulf Coast	478	+ 27	448	+ 8.5	4.34	4.44
Group 2/	8,468	-117	632	+ 9.5	4.14	4.14
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL						
<u>Northern Group</u>						
Central Arkansas	893	- 96	698	+ 4.5	4.08	4.08
Fort Smith	300	+ 97	696	+15.4	4.08	4.00
Oklahoma Metropolitan	2,273	- 71	679	+ 8.1	3.92	3.87
Red River Valley	556	- 1	936	+12.0	3.83	3.84
Texas Panhandle	481	- 6	1,066	+17.3	3.87	3.85
Group 2/	4,503	- 77	723	+ 8.2	3.93	3.91
<u>Southern Group</u>						
Northern Louisiana	529	- 21	834	+ 2.0	4.35	4.39
New Orleans	1,968	-133	562	+ 2.6	4.28	4.29
North Texas	2,553	- 11	1,021	+ 9.8	4.01	4.06
Central West Texas	399	- 74	1,165	+14.3	3.93	4.05
Austin-Waco	351	-106	1,196	+17.6	3.91	3.96
San Antonio	467	-107	1,392	+14.4	3.77	3.85
Corpus Christi	349	- 21	1,254	+ 9.7	4.35	4.43
Group 2/	6,616	-473	922	+10.2	4.07	4.12
MOUNTAIN						
Eastern Colorado	1,508	---	944	---	3.82	---
Great Basin	1,271	+ 69	871	- .6	3.80	3.83
Western Colorado	105	- 11	883	+ 3.2	3.97	3.96
Colo. Springs-Pueblo	189	-168	886	- 3.1	3.73	3.74
Central Arizona	335	- 32	3,673	+10.2	3.76	3.71
Group 2/	1,900	-142	1,367	+ 3.4	3.78	3.77
PACIFIC						
Puget Sound	3,185	- 74	973	+11.8	4.05	4.07
Inland Empire	616	- 37	726	+ .4	4.10	4.04
Group 2/	3,801	-111	933	+10.3	4.06	4.07
Comparable markets 2/	182,810	-5,096	728	+ 9.8	3/3.54	3/3.51
All markets	190,275	---	699	---	---	---

1/ Data not available.

2/ Based on markets effective as of January 1, 1961. Excludes Youngstown-Warren, Indianapolis, St. Joseph, and Eastern Colorado.

3/ Weighted average of comparable markets except Boston, Springfield, Worcester, and Connecticut.

Table 3.--Federal milk order minimum Class I and market average blend prices for milk of 3.5 percent butterfat content, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, receipts of milk from producers, and producer milk in Class I, January 1962, with comparisons

	Class I price		Blend price		Receipts from producers		Producer milk in Class I			
Marketing area	Jan.	Jan.	Jan.	Jan.	Jan.	:Change	Jan.	:Change	Percentage	
	1962	1961	1962	1961	1962	:from Jan. 1961	1962	:from Jan. 1961	of receipts Jan. 1962	Jan. 1961
	Dollars per 100 lb.				1,000 lb.	Pct.	1,000 lb.	Pct.	Percent	
NEW ENGLAND										
Boston	1/5.48	1/5.70	1/4.59	1/4.74	162,858	+ 9.5	99,657	+ 7.9	61	62
Springfield	6.02	6.24	5.30	5.57	16,483	- 6.0	11,827	- 7.5	72	73
Worcester	6.02	6.24	5.50	5.68	19,726	-12.6	14,866	- 8.4	75	72
Southeastern N.England:	6.02	6.24	5.50	5.63	57,184	+ .8	39,269	+ 1.6	69	68
Connecticut	6.01	6.23	5.39	5.61	94,440	+ 3.2	70,202	+ .6	74	76
Group 2/	5.79	6.02	5.04	5.23	350,691	+ 4.0	235,821	+ 2.6	67	68
MIDDLE ATLANTIC										
New York-New Jersey	1/5.53	1/5.62	1/4.34	1/4.40	921,180	+ 4.7	470,832	- .3	51	54
Philadelphia	3/5.65	3/5.45	3/4.97	3/4.91	134,749	- 3.8	97,291	-10.1	72	77
Group 2/	5.55	5.59	4.42	4.47	1,055,929	+ 3.5	568,123	- 2.1	54	57
SOUTH ATLANTIC										
Wilmington	5.50	5.30	4.88	5.09	19,103	+231.9	13,883	+164.0	73	91
Upper Chesapeake Bay	5.55	5.55	4.90	4.97	58,308	+14.4	42,228	+ 8.8	72	76
Washington, D. C.	5.55	5.55	4.76	4.78	86,697	+10.2	58,829	+ 7.0	68	70
Wheeling	5.00	5.34	4.69	5.03	13,642	+ 7.5	11,255	+ 6.5	82	83
Clarksburg	5.35	5.57	5.26	5.33	5,238	- 7.5	4,861	- 4.1	93	89
Tri-State	4/4.81	4/5.26	4.54	5.00	23,485	+11.9	21,097	+ 9.7	90	92
Appalachian	5.38	5.54	5.25	5.32	19,954	+ 7.3	18,039	+ 6.6	90	91
Southeastern Florida	6.24	6.22	5.84	5.72	44,486	+ 1.9	37,398	+ 5.8	84	81
Group 2/	5.55	5.63	5.00	5.09	270,913	+14.3	207,590	+11.5	77	78
EAST NORTH CENTRAL										
Eastern Group										
Upstate Michigan	4.66	4.92	4.02	4.45	5,017	+16.3	3,083	+ .2	61	71
Muskegon	4.61	4.82	4.07	4.42	10,007	+19.9	6,560	+ 5.1	66	75
Southern Michigan	4.39	4.84	3.97	4.43	296,021	+13.7	181,171	+ 2.0	61	68
Toledo	4.56	5.06	4.26	4.65	31,139	+ 8.1	24,195	+11.8	78	75
Northeastern Ohio	5/4.76	5/5.02	5/4.23	5/4.51	150,990	+ 7.2	92,301	0	61	66
North Central Ohio	6/4.48	6/4.68	4.24	4.45	21,092	- 3.6	16,325	- 5.0	77	78
Columbus	4.52	4.74	4.30	4.49	33,597	+13.8	26,633	+11.2	79	81
Dayton-Springfield	4.56	4.71	4.23	4.28	38,379	+ .2	28,614	+ 2.9	74	73
Cincinnati	4.88	5.04	4.42	4.43	51,718	+ 9.1	37,369	+15.0	72	68
Youngstown-Warren	4.86	---	4.54	---	21,117	---	17,113	---	81	---
Group 2/	4.55	4.89	4.13	4.45	637,960	+10.0	416,251	+ 3.4	65	69
Western Group										
Mich. Upper Peninsula	7/4.34	7/4.59	3.96	4.18	10,275	+ 8.7	7,710	+ 3.2	75	79
Northeastern Wisconsin:	8/3.93	8/4.18	8/3.64	8/3.85	38,643	+14.3	23,890	+ 1.8	62	69
Milwaukee	3.81	4.06	3.69	3.92	63,165	+25.2	49,059	+22.6	78	79
Rockford-Freeport	9/3.99	9/4.12	3.84	4.03	7,169	+40.6	5,871	+34.8	82	85
Chicago	10/3.97	10/4.10	10/3.66	10/3.60	515,197	+ 9.0	199,805	+ .1	39	42
S.Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart:	4.17	4.48	3.92	4.26	16,709	-36.0	12,231	-37.7	73	75
Fort Wayne	4.42	4.25	4.19	3.79	15,872	+47.9	12,968	+104.3	82	59
Ohio Valley	4.65	4.80	4.38	4.58	19,251	+23.4	15,204	+15.3	79	84
Indianapolis	11/4.59	---	11/4.34	---	76,608	---	61,540	---	80	---
Suburban St. Louis	11/4.33	11/4.58	11/4.11	11/4.37	27,311	+11.6	21,317	+ 8.3	78	80
Group 2/	4.03	4.19	3.72	3.73	713,592	+10.1	348,055	+ 4.3	49	51
WEST NORTH CENTRAL										
Northern Group										
Duluth-Superior	3.94	4.19	3.56	3.60	14,500	- 2.6	7,818	- .9	54	53
Minneapolis-St. Paul	3.80	4.16	3.65	3.83	97,261	+12.9	59,079	+ 5.1	61	65
Eastern South Dakota	4.59	4.84	4.15	4.30	3,612	+16.3	2,514	+ 7.0	70	76
Sioux Falls-Mitchell	4.45	4.36	4.07	3.96	8,859	+ 4.8	6,621	+ 7.8	75	73
Black Hills	5.34	5.59	5.05	5.00	3,774	- .9	3,254	+ 9.9	86	78
North Central Iowa	11/4.12	11/4.25	11/3.97	11/4.16	24,916	- 1.4	20,060	-10.7	80	89
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City:	4.12	4.25	3.81	4.02	18,546	+18.0	11,944	+ .4	64	76
Quad Cities-Dubuque	12/4.17	4.30	12/3.87	4.06	19,914	+ 7.9	13,268	+ 1.6	67	71
Des Moines	13/4.46	13/4.59	13/4.19	13/4.30	25,014	+ 5.2	19,345	+ 8.8	77	75
Sioux City	4.71	4.81	4.11	4.22	5,702	+ .4	3,744	- 4.6	66	69
Nebraska-Western Iowa	4.71	4.82	4.41	4.41	43,430	+14.6	34,398	+18.6	79	76
Group 2/	4.21	4.40	3.93	4.05	265,528	+ 9.2	182,045	+ 4.8	68	71

Continued

Table 3.--Federal milk order minimum Class I and market average blend prices for milk of 3.5 percent butterfat content, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, receipts of milk from producers, and producer milk in Class I, January 1962, with comparisons -Continued

	Class I price		Blend price		Receipts from producers		Producer milk in Class I			
Marketing area	Jan.	Jan.	Jan.	Jan.	Jan.	:Change	Jan.	:Change	Percentage	
	1962	1961	1962	1961	1962	:from	1962	:from	of receipts	
						Jan.		Jan.	Jan. : Jan.	
						1961		1961	1962 : 1961	
	Dollars per 100 lb.				1,000 lb.	Pct.	1,000 lb.	Pct.	Percent	
WEST NORTH CENT. -Con.										
Southern Group										
St. Joseph	4.48	---	4.36	---	11,358	---	10,235	---	90	
St. Louis	4.43	4.68	4.23	4.40	61,442	+ 7.4	49,094	+ 9.6	80	
Ozarks	14/4.16	14/4.41	14/3.93	14/4.25	17,984	+30.2	13,405	+17.1	74	
Kansas City	4.58	4.83	4.23	4.32	60,409	- 2.9	43,782	+ 1.8	72	
Neosho Valley	4.69	4.86	4.26	4.32	12,457	+ 3.9	8,885	+19.0	71	
Wichita	4.70	4.94	4.31	4.44	24,574	+10.6	17,577	+13.2	72	
Southwest Kansas	4.83	5.23	4.28	4.69	6,119	- 7.2	4,091	-14.8	67	
Group 2/	4.52	4.77	4.21	4.37	182,985	+ 5.2	136,834	+ 7.7	75	
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL										
Louisville-Lexington	4.37	4.90	4.12	4.50	44,473	+ 6.0	31,771	+ 5.7	71	
Paducah	4.69	4.94	4.68	4.94	7,386	+ .1	7,106	+ 1.2	96	
Nashville	4.74	4.94	4.48	4.65	28,440	+10.2	24,039	+11.5	84	
Memphis	15/5.12	15/5.36	15/5.02	15/5.20	22,484	+19.2	21,054	+22.0	94	
Knoxville	4.62	4.92	4.28	4.70	16,869	+ 7.4	13,581	- 3.3	80	
Chattanooga	4.76	5.04	4.36	4.58	14,681	+ 8.0	11,156	+ 5.0	76	
Mississippi Delta	16/5.28	16/5.54	16/4.86	16/5.14	8,948	+ 7.7	7,261	+ 4.3	81	
Central Mississippi	17/5.44	17/5.70	17/5.02	17/5.16	16,019	- 2.4	13,345	+ 2.0	83	
Mississippi Gulf Coast	18/5.54	18/5.80	18/5.10	18/5.32	6,639	+15.4	5,493	+14.6	83	
Group 2/	4.83	5.14	4.53	4.80	165,939	+ 7.9	134,806	+ 7.5	81	
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL										
Northern Group										
Central Arkansas	19/5.12	19/5.36	19/4.99	19/5.09	16,635	- 4.4	15,587	+ 1.0	94	
Fort Smith	5.12	5.40	4.92	5.06	4,384	+22.0	4,023	+27.4	92	
Oklahoma Metropolitan	20/5.02	20/5.19	20/4.61	20/4.70	47,844	+ 4.8	36,749	+ 3.5	77	
Red River Valley	21/5.17	21/5.34	21/4.71	21/4.95	16,131	+11.8	12,514	+ 4.4	78	
Texas Panhandle	5.44	5.72	4.80	5.25	15,899	+15.9	11,566	+ 1.2	73	
Group 2/	5.13	5.33	4.73	4.90	100,893	+ 6.4	80,439	+ 3.8	80	
Southern Group										
Northern Louisiana	22/5.56	22/5.96	22/5.43	22/5.61	13,682	- 1.8	13,029	+ 5.7	95	
New Orleans	23/5.54	23/6.12	23/4.98	23/5.24	34,273	- 4.0	25,536	+ 1.9	74	
North Texas	5.20	5.65	4.76	5.13	80,800	+ 9.3	62,143	+ 5.6	77	
Central West Texas	24/5.45	24/5.90	24/5.34	24/5.58	14,414	- 3.5	13,789	+ 4.3	96	
Austin-Waco	25/5.60	25/6.04	25/5.54	25/6.00	12,224	+ 8.4	11,815	+ 7.4	97	
San Antonio	5.62	6.07	5.40	5.64	20,155	- 5.1	18,440	+ 2.5	91	
Corpus Christi	26/6.00	26/6.44	26/5.81	26/6.15	13,568	+ 3.5	12,662	+ 4.9	93	
Group 2/	5.45	5.92	5.09	5.41	189,116	+ 2.7	157,414	+ 4.6	83	
MOUNTAIN										
Eastern Colorado	5.32	---	4.90	---	44,110	---	35,333	---	80	
Great Basin	5.27	5.25	4.56	4.44	34,328	+ 5.2	22,560	+ 6.1	66	
Western Colorado	5.26	5.49	4.95	5.13	2,873	- 6.6	2,450	- 4.5	85	
Colo. Springs-Pueblo	5.32	5.64	5.13	5.10	5,194	-48.7	4,601	-37.8	88	
Central Arizona	27/5.84	27/6.14	27/5.45	27/5.57	38,144	+ .6	32,022	+ 5.1	84	
Group 2/	5.57	5.75	5.03	5.06	80,539	- 3.8	61,633	- .1	76	
PACIFIC										
Puget Sound	28/4.92	28/5.20	28/4.12	28/4.28	96,041	+ 9.3	49,128	+ 2.2	51	
Inland Empire	5.16	5.39	4.61	4.65	13,859	- 5.3	10,065	- .1	73	
Group 2/	4.96	5.23	4.18	4.33	109,900	+ 7.2	59,193	+ 1.8	54	
Comparable markets 2/	4.99	5.19	4.35	4.50	4,123,985	+ 6.9	2,588,204	+ 3.2	63	
All markets	4.98	5.19	4.36	4.50	4,277,178	---	2,712,425	---	---	
1/ 201-210 mile zone. 2/ Based on Markets effective as of January 1, 1961. See footnote 2 on table 2.										
3/ Standard milk. 4/ Huntington. 5/ At Cleveland, Akron, and Canton. 6/ Lima. 7/ Zone II.										
8/ Except Oneida, Vilas, and Forest Counties, Wisconsin. 9/ At Rockford. 10/ 55-70 mile zone.										
11/ Base zone. 12/ Except Dubuque and Jackson Counties, Iowa and East Dubuque, Illinois. 13/ Polk County.										
14/ Springfield. 15/ At Memphis. 16/ Greenville and Columbus. 17/ Jackson, Meridian, Meadville, and										
Hattiesburg. 18/ Gulfport and Pascagoula. 19/ Benton. 20/ Oklahoma City. 21/ Wichita Falls.										
22/ Minden and Monroe. 23/ At New Orleans and Houma. 24/ Abilene. 25/ Zone I. 26/ 0-50 mile zone										
(Mercedes). 27/ Tucson. 28/ District I.										

1/ 201-210 mile zone. 2/ Based on Markets effective as of January 1, 1961. See footnote 2 on table 2.
3/ Standard milk. 4/ Huntington. 5/ At Cleveland, Akron, and Canton. 6/ Lima. 7/ Zone II.
8/ Except Oneida, Vilas, and Forest Counties, Wisconsin. 9/ At Rockford. 10/ 55-70 mile zone.
11/ Base zone. 12/ Except Dubuque and Jackson Counties, Iowa and East Dubuque, Illinois. 13/ Polk County.
14/ Springfield. 15/ At Memphis. 16/ Greenville and Columbus. 17/ Jackson, Meridian, Meadville, and
Hattiesburg. 18/ Gulfport and Pascagoula. 19/ Benton. 20/ Oklahoma City. 21/ Wichita Falls.
22/ Minden and Monroe. 23/ At New Orleans and Houma. 24/ Abilene. 25/ Zone I. 26/ 0-50 mile zone
(Mercedes). 27/ Tucson. 28/ District I.

Table 4.--Minimum prices established by Federal milk orders, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, for milk at basic test, with butterfat differentials, January 1962

Marketing area	Price per 100 pounds							Fat differential 0.1%			
	Fat										
	test	Class I	Class II	Class III	Blend	Base	Excess	Classes			Blend
								I	II	III	
	Pct.	Dollars									
NEW ENGLAND											
Boston 1/	3.7	5.63	3.42	---	4.74	---	---	7.6	7.6	---	7.6
Springfield	3.7	6.17	3.48	---	5.45	---	---	7.6	7.6	---	7.6
Worcester	3.7	6.17	3.48	---	5.65	---	---	7.6	7.6	---	7.6
Southeastern New England	3.7	6.17	3.48	---	5.65	---	---	7.6	7.6	---	7.6
Connecticut	3.7	6.17	3.48	---	5.55	---	---	8.0	8.0	---	8.0
MIDDLE ATLANTIC											
New York-New Jersey 1/	3.5	5.53	3.89	2/3.16	4.34	---	---	4.0	9.4	2/7.3	5.6
Philadelphia	3.7	3/5.79	3.15	---	3/4/5.11	---	---	6.8	6.8	---	7.0
SOUTH ATLANTIC											
Wilmington	3.7	5.64	3.15	---	4/5.02	---	---	6.8	6.8	---	7.0
Upper Chesapeake Bay	3.5	5.55	3.07	---	4.90	---	---	7.4	6.8	---	7.0
Washington, D. C.	3.5	5.55	3.07	---	4.76	---	---	7.4	6.8	---	7.0
Wheeling	3.5	5.00	3.21	---	4.69	---	---	7.9	7.0	---	7.7
Clarksburg	3.5	5.35	3.21	---	5.26	---	---	7.9	7.0	---	7.8
Tri-State	3.5	5/4.81	5/3.21	5/3.21	4/4.54	---	---	7.8	6.8	6.8	7.3
Appalachian	4.0	5.75	3.48	---	5.61	---	---	7.3	6.7	---	7.2
Southeastern Florida 6/	4.0	6.61	4.77	3.88	6.21	---	---	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5
EAST NORTH CENTRAL											
Eastern Group											
Upstate Michigan	3.5	4.66	3.21	3.01	4.02	---	---	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Muskegon	3.5	4.61	3.06	---	4.07	4.28	3.06	6.8	6.8	---	7.0
Southern Michigan	3.5	4.39	3.26	---	3.97	4.14	3.26	6.8	6.8	---	7.0
Toledo	3.5	4.56	3.21	---	4/4.26	---	---	7.6	7.3	---	7.5
Northeastern Ohio 7/	3.5	4.76	3.51	3.30	4.23	---	---	7.9	7.0	7.0	7.5
North Central Ohio	3.5	8/4.48	8/3.30	---	4/4.24	---	---	7.9	7.0	---	7.6
Columbus 6/	3.5	4.52	4.12	3.89	4.30	---	---	7.8	7.8	7.6	7.6
Dayton-Springfield	3.5	4.56	9/3.42	---	4.23	---	---	7.7	9/7.3	---	7.3
Cincinnati	3.5	4.88	3.37	10/3.37	4.42	---	---	8.3	7.0	10/7.0	7.8
Youngstown-Warren	3.5	4.86	3.21	---	4.54	---	---	7.9	7.0	---	7.7
Western Group											
Michigan Upper Peninsula	3.5	11/4.34	11/3.18	11/3.04	4/3.96	---	---	7.6	7.0	7.0	7.4
Northeastern Wisconsin 12/	3.5	3.93	3.18	3.18	3.64	---	---	7.6	7.0	7.0	7.4
Milwaukee 6/	3.5	3.81	3.40	13/3.18	4/3.69	---	---	7.3	14/7.9	15/7.3	7.3
Rockford-Freeport	3.5	16/3.99	16/3.18	---	3.84	---	---	7.9	7.3	---	7.3
Chicago	3.5	17/3.97	3.52	3.30	17/3.66	---	---	7.3	7.9	7.3	7.3
S. Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart	3.5	4.17	3.34	3.18	3.92	---	---	7.9	6.8	7.3	7.3
Fort Wayne	3.5	4.42	3.21	---	4.19	---	---	7.6	7.0	---	7.4
Ohio Valley	4.0	5.03	3.70	---	4.75	---	---	7.6	7.3	---	7.5
Indianapolis 18/	3.5	4.59	3.34	---	4.34	---	---	7.3	6.8	---	7.1
Suburban St. Louis	3.5	18/4.33	3.22	---	18/4.11	---	---	7.3	7.0	---	7.2
WEST NORTH CENTRAL											
Northern Group											
Duluth-Superior	3.5	3.94	3.14	---	3.56	---	---	7.9	7.3	---	7.6
Minneapolis-St. Paul	3.5	3.80	3.30	---	3.65	3.75	3.30	7.7	7.4	---	7.4
Eastern South Dakota	3.5	4.59	3.09	---	4.15	---	---	7.3	6.7	---	7.1
Sioux Falls-Mitchell	3.5	4.45	3.05	---	4.07	---	---	9.6	6.8	---	7.0
Black Hills	3.5	5.34	3.01	---	5.05	5.13	3.01	10.8	6.5	---	7.0
North Central Iowa 18/	3.5	4.12	3.30	---	4/3.97	---	---	7.3	7.0	---	7.2
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City	3.5	4.12	3.30	---	3.81	---	---	7.3	6.7	---	7.0
Quad Cities-Dubuque	3.5	19/4.17	3.30	---	19/3.87	---	---	7.6	7.0	---	7.4
Des Moines 20/	3.5	4.46	3.30	---	4.19	---	---	7.3	6.7	---	7.1
Sioux City	3.5	4.71	3.06	---	4.11	---	---	9.5	6.7	---	7.0
Nebraska-Western Iowa	3.5	4.71	3.29	---	4.41	---	---	7.6	7.0	---	7.4

Continued

Table 4.--Minimum prices established by Federal milk orders, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, for milk at basic test, with butterfat differentials, January 1962 -Continued

Marketing area	Fat test	Price per 100 pounds						Fat differential 0.1%			
		Class I	Class II	Class III	Blend	Base	Excess	Classes			Blend
								I	II	III	
	Pct.	Dollars						Cents			
WEST NORTH CENTRAL -Con.											
<u>Southern Group</u>											
St. Joseph	: 3.5	4.48	3.23	---	4.36	---	---	7.3	7.0	---	7.3
St. Louis	: 3.5	4.43	3.22	---	4.23	---	---	7.3	7.0	---	7.0
Ozarks 21/	: 3.5	4.16	3.22	---	3.93	---	---	7.3	7.0	---	7.0
Kansas City	: 3.5	4.58	3.18	---	4.23	---	---	7.3	7.0	---	6.4
Neosho Valley	: 4.0	5.07	3.59	---	4.63	---	---	7.6	7.0	---	7.3
Wichita	: 3.8	4.92	4.21	3.41	4.53	---	---	7.3	7.3	7.0	7.2
Southwest Kansas	: 3.8	5.06	3.41	---	4.50	---	---	7.6	7.0	---	7.3
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL											
Louisville-Lexington	: 3.8	4.60	3.63	3.56	4.32	---	---	7.6	7.1	7.3	6.5
Paducah	: 3.5	4.69	3.18	---	4.68	---	---	7.3	7.0	---	6.5
Nashville	: 4.0	5.11	3.50	---	4.84	---	---	7.3	7.0	---	7.2
Memphis 22/	: 4.0	5.49	3.35	---	4/5.35	---	---	7.3	7.0	---	6.5
Knoxville	: 4.0	5.01	3.40	---	4.64	---	---	7.9	7.0	---	7.3
Chattanooga	: 4.0	5.16	3.65	---	4.74	---	---	7.9	7.0	---	7.6
Mississippi Delta 23/	: 4.0	5.64	3.35	---	5.21	---	---	7.3	6.7	---	7.1
Central Mississippi 24/	: 4.0	5.80	3.35	---	5.37	---	---	7.3	6.7	---	7.0
Miss. Gulf Coast 25/	: 4.0	5.90	3.35	---	5.46	---	---	7.3	6.7	---	7.1
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL											
<u>Northern Group</u>											
Central Arkansas 26/	: 4.0	5.49	3.52	---	5.35	---	---	7.3	7.0	---	7.2
Fort Smith	: 4.0	5.50	3.27	---	4/5.29	---	---	7.6	7.0	---	7.3
Oklahoma Metropolitan 27/	: 3.5	5.02	3.21	---	4.61	---	---	7.6	7.0	---	7.3
Red River Valley 28/	: 3.5	5.17	3.21	---	4.71	---	---	7.6	7.0	---	7.4
Texas Panhandle	: 4.0	5.80	29/3.55	---	5.16	---	---	7.3	6.7	---	7.1
<u>Southern Group</u>											
Northern Louisiana 30/	: 4.0	5.92	3.56	---	4/31/5.79	---	---	7.3	6.7	---	7.2
New Orleans 32/	: 4.0	5.90	3.54	---	5.34	---	---	7.3	6.7	---	7.1
North Texas	: 4.0	5.58	33/3.56	---	5.11	---	---	7.6	7.0	---	7.0
Central West Texas 34/	: 4.0	5.83	35/3.56	---	5.71	---	---	7.6	7.0	---	7.3
Austin-Waco 36/	: 4.0	5.96	3.56	---	4/5.87	---	---	7.3	6.7	---	6.7
San Antonio	: 4.0	6.00	37/3.57	---	5.74	---	---	7.6	6.5	---	6.7
Corpus Christi	: 4.0	38/6.36	39/3.56	---	4/38/6.17	---	---	7.3	6.7	---	7.2
MOUNTAIN											
Eastern Colorado	: 3.5	5.32	3.21	3.21	4.90	---	---	7.9	7.3	---	7.7
Great Basin	: 3.5	5.27	3.36	3.21	4.56	4.77	3.21	8.2	7.0	7.0	7.7
Western Colorado	: 3.5	5.26	3.21	---	4/4.95	---	---	8.2	7.3	---	8.0
Colorado Springs-Pueblo	: 3.5	5.32	3.21	---	5.13	---	---	7.9	7.3	---	7.8
Central Arizona 40/	: 3.8	6.09	3.42	---	5.69	---	---	8.2	7.0	---	7.9
PACIFIC											
Puget Sound 41/	: 4.0	5.30	42/3.50	---	4.50	4.61	3.74	7.6	42/7.3	---	43/7.5
Inland Empire	: 4.0	5.55	44/3.50	---	4.99	---	---	7.8	44/7.3	---	7.6

1/ 201-210 mile zone. 2/ Class III (butter-cheese) \$3.05, 7.0¢. 3/ Standard milk. 4/ Individual handler pool. 5/ Huntington. 6/ Class IV prices and differentials: Southeastern Florida, \$3.18, 7.5¢; Columbus \$3.27, 7.0¢; Milwaukee, \$3.14, 7.3¢; 7/ At Cleveland, Akron, and Canton. 8/ Lima. 9/ Class II (butter) \$3.25, 6.8¢. 10/ Class III (butter) \$3.37, 6.6¢. 11/ Zone II. 12/ Except Oneida, Vilas, and Forest Counties, Wisconsin. 13/ Class III(a), same as Class III. 14/ When handler's lowest priced use is Class III. 15/ When handler's lowest priced use is in this class. 16/ At Rockford. 17/ 55-70 mile zone. 18/ Base zone. 19/ Except Dubuque and Jackson Counties, Iowa and East Dubuque, Illinois. 20/ Polk County. 21/ Springfield. 22/ At Memphis. 23/ Greenville and Columbus. 24/ Jackson, Meridian, Meadville, and Hattiesburg. 25/ Gulfport and Pascagoula. 26/ Benton. 27/ Oklahoma City. 28/ Wichita Falls. 29/ Class II (Cheddar cheese) \$3.36. 30/ Minden and Monroe. 31/ Associated producers paid an average of of \$2.23. (Handler blend price less Class II.) 32/ At New Orleans and Houma. 33/ Class II (Cheddar cheese) \$3.37. 34/ Abilene. 35/ Class II (Cheddar cheese) \$3.14. 36/ Zone I. 37/ Class II (Cheddar cheese) \$3.14. 38/ 0-50 mile zone (Mercedes). 39/ Class II (Cheddar cheese) \$3.14. 40/ Tucson. 41/ District I. 42/ Class II (ice cream, condensed, and cottage cheese) \$3.75. 43/ Fat differential for base 7.5¢ and excess 7.3¢. 44/ Class II(a) \$3.75.

Table 5.--Federal milk order Class I formula price information and gross Class I usage (with comparisons),
January 1962

Marketing area	Formula factors					Gross Class I		Receipts from	
	Basic	Fluid	Sea-	Supply-	Other	Class I	Change	producers as %	
	price	diff.	sonal	demand		price	from	of Class I	
	1/	2/		3/	4/	5/	Jan. 1962	Jan. 1961	Jan. 1962
	Dollars	per 100	pounds				1,000 lb.	Pct.	Percent
NEW ENGLAND									
Boston	5.77 E	---	+0.21	-0.40	+0.05	5.63	100,236	+ 7.4	162 159
Springfield	6.31 E	---	+ .21	- .40	+ .05	6.17	13,862	+ 7.0	119 135
Worcester	6.31 E	---	+ .21	- .40	+ .05	6.17	16,680	- 8.0	118 125
Southeastern N.England	6.31 E	---	+ .21	- .40	+ .05	6.17	42,139	+ 5.6	136 142
Connecticut	6.31 E	---	+ .21	- .40	+ .05	6.17	71,898	+ .5	131 128
Group 6/	---	---	---	---	---	---	231,862	+ 3.9	151 151
MIDDLE ATLANTIC									
New York-New Jersey	5.58 E	---	+ .26	- .31	---	5.53	473,153	- .6	195 185
Philadelphia	5.66 E	---	0	0	+ .13	5.79	98,254	- 9.8	137 129
Group 6/	---	---	---	---	---	---	571,139	- 2.3	185 174
SOUTH ATLANTIC									
Wilmington	5.51 E	---	0	---	+ .13	5.64	14,847	+142.8	129 94
Upper Chesapeake Bay	---	---	---	---	---	5.55	43,111	+ 9.6	135 130
Washington, D. C.	---	---	---	---	---	5.55	58,877	+ 7.1	147 143
Wheeling	3.22 B	1.73	+ .15	+ .08	- .18	5.00	12,183	+ .7	112 105
Clarksburg	3.22 B	1.98	+ .15	+ .12	- .12	5.35	5,031	- .7	104 112
Tri-State	3.21 B	1.59	+ .28	- .27	0	4.81	21,647	+ 9.6	108 106
Appalachian	3.65 B	1.92	+ .18	---	---	5.75	19,001	+11.8	105 109
Southeastern Florida	7.00 S	---	---	- .39	---	6.61	37,398	+ 5.8	119 124
Group 6/	---	---	---	---	---	---	211,885	+11.7	128 125
EAST NORTH CENTRAL									
Eastern Group									
Upstate Michigan	3.21 B	1.28	+ .17	---	---	4.66	3,135	- 2.4	160 134
Muskegon	3.21 B	1.25	+ .15	---	---	4.61	6,786	+ 6.2	147 131
Southern Michigan	3.21 B	1.43	+ .20	- .45	---	4.39	181,226	+ 2.0	163 146
Toledo	3.21 B	1.45	+ .20	- .30	---	4.56	24,195	+11.8	129 133
Northeastern Ohio	3.22 B	1.65	+ .15	- .25	---	4.76	92,301	0	164 153
North Central Ohio	3.22 B	1.37	+ .15	- .25	---	4.48	16,748	- 2.5	126 127
Columbus	3.32 B	1.10	---	+ .10	---	4.52	26,637	+11.2	126 123
Dayton-Springfield	3.34 B	1.20	---	+ .02	---	4.56	28,614	+ 2.9	134 138
Cincinnati	3.34 B	1.30	---	+ .24	---	4.88	37,558	+ 8.7	138 137
Youngstown-Warren 7/	3.22 B	1.65	+ .15	- .25	+ .10	4.86	17,117	---	123 ---
Group 6/	---	---	---	---	---	---	415,875	+ 2.8	153 143
Western Group									
Mich. Upper Peninsula	3.19 M	1.17	- .02	---	---	4.34	7,721	+ 2.6	133 126
Northeastern Wisconsin	3.19 M	.74	0	---	---	3.93	23,985	+ 1.5	161 143
Milwaukee	3.19 M	.86	0	- .24	---	3.81	48,830	+22.3	129 126
Rockford-Freeport	3.31 W	.92	0	- .24	---	3.99	5,871	+34.8	122 117
Chicago	3.31 W	.90	0	- .24	---	3.97	199,935	- .2	258 236
S. Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart	3.19 M	1.10	0	- .12	---	4.17	12,156	-37.9	137 134
Fort Wayne	3.22 B	1.20	---	---	---	4.42	13,017	+74.2	122 144
Ohio Valley	3.70 B	1.25	+ .08	---	---	5.03	15,355	+16.4	125 118
Indianapolis 7/	3.34 B	1.25	---	---	---	4.59	61,872	---	124 ---
Suburban St. Louis	3.31 W	1.30	0	---	- .28	4.33	21,854	+10.3	125 124
Group 6/	---	---	---	---	---	---	348,010	+ 3.9	205 193
WEST NORTH CENTRAL									
Northern Group									
Duluth-Superior	3.19 M	.90	- .15	---	---	3.94	7,818	- .9	185 189
Minneapolis-St. Paul	3.19 M	.86	- .10	- .15	---	3.80	59,084	+ 5.1	165 153
Eastern South Dakota	3.19 M	1.40	---	---	---	4.59	2,617	-13.1	138 103
Sioux Falls-Mitchell	3.05 B	1.40	---	---	---	4.45	6,702	+ 8.1	132 136
Black Hills	3.19 M	2.15	---	---	---	5.34	3,297	+ 5.7	114 122
North Central Iowa	3.31 W	1.05	0	- .24	---	4.12	20,658	- 8.9	121 111
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City	3.31 W	1.05	0	- .24	---	4.12	11,953	+ .4	155 132
Quad Cities-Dubuque	3.31 W	1.10	0	- .24	---	4.17	13,285	+ 1.1	150 140
Des Moines	3.31 W	1.25	0	- .24	+ .14	4.46	19,424	+ 8.6	129 133
Sioux City	3.31 L	1.40	---	---	---	4.71	3,744	- 4.6	152 145
Nebraska-Western Iowa	3.31 L	1.40	---	---	---	4.71	34,398	+11.7	126 123
Group 6/	---	---	---	---	---	---	182,916	+ 3.6	145 138

Continued

Table 5.--Federal milk order Class I formula price information and gross Class I usage (with comparisons),
January 1962 -Continued

Marketing area	Formula factors						Gross Class I		Receipts from	
	Basic	Fluid	Sea-	Supply-	Other	Class I	Change	producers as %		
	price	diff.	sonal	demand		price	from	of Class I		
	1/	2/		3/	4/	5/	Jan. 1962	Jan. 1961	Jan. 1962	Jan. 1961
	Dollars per 100 pounds						1,000 lb.	Pct.	Percent	
WEST NORTH CENT. -Con.										
Southern Group										
St. Joseph 7/	3.22 B	1.30	+0.10	-0.04	-0.10	4.48	10,317	---	110	---
St. Louis	3.31 W	1.40	0	- .04	- .24	4.43	51,101	+ 3.6	120	116
Ozarks	3.31 W	1.13	0	- .04	- .24	4.16	13,464	+17.5	134	120
Kansas City	3.22 B	1.30	+ .10	- .04	---	4.58	44,956	+ 4.4	134	144
Neosho Valley	3.65 M	1.34	+ .11	---	- .03	5.07	8,885	+19.0	140	160
Wichita	3.47 M	1.57	---	- .12	---	4.92	17,615	+13.3	140	143
Southwest Kansas	3.47 M	1.65	---	- .06	---	5.06	4,091	-16.0	150	135
Group 6/	---	---	---	---	---	---	140,045	+ 6.3	131	132
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL										
Louisville-Lexington										
Paducah	3.56 B	1.25	---	- .26	+ .05	4.60	31,785	+ 2.3	140	135
Nashville	3.19 M	1.30	+ .20	---	---	4.69	7,768	+ 2.5	95	97
Memphis	3.67 B	1.35	+ .15	- .06	---	5.11	24,051	+11.5	118	120
Knoxville	3.75 B	1.74	---	0	---	5.49	21,253	+22.4	106	109
Chattanooga	3.67 B	1.50	---	- .16	---	5.01	13,871	- 3.0	122	110
Mississippi Delta	3.65 B	1.75	---	- .24	---	5.16	11,271	+ 4.6	130	126
Central Mississippi	3.67 B	1.97	---	---	---	5.64	7,461	+ 7.2	120	119
Mississippi Gulf Coast	3.67 B	2.13	---	---	---	5.80	13,233	+ 1.1	121	125
Group 6/	3.67 B	2.23	---	---	---	5.90	5,540	+ 7.6	120	112
	---	---	---	---	---	---	135,993	+ 7.6	122	122
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL										
Northern Group										
Central Arkansas	3.75 B	1.74	---	0	---	5.49	15,643	+ 1.2	106	112
Fort Smith	3.65 M	1.75	+ .10	---	---	5.50	4,023	+27.4	109	114
Oklahoma Metropolitan	3.22 B	1.78	+ .10	- .08	---	5.02	36,793	+ 3.6	130	128
Red River Valley	3.22 B	1.93	+ .10	- .08	---	5.17	12,899	- 1.0	125	111
Texas Panhandle	3.65 M	2.05	+ .10	---	---	5.80	11,580	+ 1.3	137	120
Group 6/	---	---	---	---	---	---	80,936	+ 3.1	125	121
Southern Group										
Northern Louisiana	3.65 M	2.27	---	---	---	5.92	13,597	+ 7.1	101	110
New Orleans	3.67 B	2.61	+ .07	- .50	+ .05	5.90	26,353	+ 4.8	130	142
North Texas	3.65 M	2.12	+ .13	- .32	---	5.58	64,448	+ 6.3	125	122
Central West Texas	3.65 M	2.37	+ .13	- .32	---	5.83	13,789	+ 4.3	104	113
Austin-Waco	3.65 M	2.50	+ .13	- .32	---	5.96	11,831	+ 5.2	103	100
San Antonio	3.65 M	2.54	+ .13	- .32	---	6.00	20,224	+ 2.3	100	107
Corpus Christi	3.65 M	2.90	+ .13	- .32	---	6.36	13,134	+ 5.6	103	105
Group 6/	---	---	---	---	---	---	162,876	+ 5.4	116	119
MOUNTAIN										
Eastern Colorado 7/	3.22 B	2.10	---	---	---	5.32	35,349	---	125	---
Great Basin	3.22 B	2.05	---	0	---	5.27	22,671	+ 6.2	151	153
Western Colorado	3.22 B	2.05	---	---	---	5.26	2,450	- 4.5	117	120
Colo. Springs-Pueblo	3.22 B	2.10	---	---	---	5.32	4,716	-36.5	110	136
Central Arizona	3.47 M	2.75	---	- .13	---	6.09	32,132	+ 5.5	119	124
Group 6/	---	---	---	---	---	---	61,969	+ .3	130	136
PACIFIC										
Puget Sound	3.65 M	1.65	---	---	---	5.30	49,159	+ 1.9	195	182
Inland Empire	3.65 M	1.90	---	0	---	5.55	10,065	- .1	138	145
Group 6/	---	---	---	---	---	---	59,223	+ 1.6	186	176
Comparable markets 8/	---	---	---	---	---	---	2,592,684	+ 3.1	159	153
All markets 6/	---	---	---	---	---	---	2,715,110	+ 8.0	158	153

1/ Based on: E-Economic index; B-Butter-nonfat dry milk prices; C-Cheese price formula; L-Local manufacturing milk prices; M-Midwest condensery prices; S-Specified in order; W-Minnesota-Wisconsin manufacturing milk price.

2/ Annual rate. 3/ Computed amount. 4/ Bracketing except; price and/or supply-demand adjustment limited by minimum or maximum, or relation to other markets: Wheeling, Clarksburg, Youngstown-Warren, Suburban St. Louis, Des Moines, St. Joseph, St. Louis, Ozarks, Neosho Valley, Louisville-Lexington, and New Orleans. 5/ For butterfat percentage and location at which price is reported, see table 4. 6/ Adjusted for duplication due to intermarket transfers. 7/ Excluded from group total. 8/ Based on markets effective January 1, 1961. Excludes Youngstown-Warren, Indianapolis, St. Joseph, and Eastern Colorado. Adjusted for duplication due to intermarket transfers.

Table 6.--Whole milk, skim milk items, milk and cream mixtures, and cream sold in marketing areas defined by Federal milk orders, for markets where such information is available, December 1961, with comparisons

Marketing area	Whole milk 1/				Skim milk items 2/				Milk and cream mixtures				Cream			
	Dec. 1961	:Change, 1961 :from 1960	Dec. 1961	:Change, 1961 :from 1960	Dec. 1961	:Change, 1961 :from 1960	Dec. 1961	:Change, 1961 :from 1960	Dec. 1961	:Change, 1961 :from 1960	Dec. 1961	:Change, 1961 :from 1960	Dec. 1961	:Change, 1961 :from 1960	Dec. 1961	:Change, 1961 :from 1960
	Daily average :content :date	:fat :Dec. :to :content :date	Daily average :content :date	:fat :Dec. :to :content :date	Daily average :content :date	:fat :Dec. :to :content :date	Daily average :content :date	:fat :Dec. :to :content :date	Daily average :content :date	:fat :Dec. :to :content :date	Daily average :content :date	:fat :Dec. :to :content :date	Daily average :content :date	:fat :Dec. :to :content :date	Daily average :content :date	:fat :Dec. :to :content :date
	1,000 lb.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	1,000 lb.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	1,000 lb.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	1,000 lb.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
NEW ENGLAND																
Boston 2/	2,386	---	- 2.1	+ 0.4	95.5	---	+10.7	+13.3	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Springfield	430	---	- .6	- 1.2	21.2	---	+ 1.2	+15.1	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Worcester 3/	286	---	- 4.8	+ .9	7.9	---	-22.8	- 5.7	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Southeastern N.Eng. 4/	1,448	3.66	+ 1.1	+ .7	46.6	.72	+17.7	+14.0	14.9	12.1	+ 4.9	+ 9.1	33.6	24.4	+ 3.2	- 3.3
Connecticut	2,177	3.64	- .4	+ 1.5	93.4	.54	- .2	+ 7.3	0	---	0	---	63.6	21.2	+ 3.6	+ 2.9
MIDDLE ATLANTIC																
Philadelphia	2,026	3.69	- 6.7	- 3.2	124.9	.74	- 3.9	+ 3.5	17.9	11.7	+10.5	+14.6	34.8	22.4	- 9.8	- 8.7
SOUTH ATLANTIC																
Wilmington	252	3.63	- 4.1	- .6	11.9	.67	+11.1	+ 7.7	1.6	11.7	+10.9	+10.3	2.9	23.9	- 3.2	- 9.4
Washington, D. C.	1,488	3.60	- 2.2	- .2	89.5	.53	+ 4.2	+ 2.5	32.9	12.0	+ 1.4	+ 7.3	23.5	22.1	+ 9.1	- 3.2
Wheeling 4/	332	3.56	- 2.7	- 1.4	11.3	1.18	- 8.3	- 3.1	3.6	11.9	+ 1.1	+11.6	2.3	22.0	- 4.7	- 9.9
Clarksburg	167	3.60	- 1.6	+ .7	6.3	1.11	+ 5.8	- 2.3	2.4	12.0	+11.3	+ 3.1	.5	25.0	+10.9	+ 8.9
Appalachian	256	3.64	+ 3.1	+ .8	35.6	.76	+ 1.4	+ 1.0	1.0	12.6	+ 9.0	+14.5	.9	27.5	- 4.8	-10.5
Southeastern Florida	1,022	3.73	- .8	- .3	110.0	.78	+ 7.2	+17.4	36.2	9.0	+10.8	+15.4	28.3	21.9	+10.9	+ .3
EAST NORTH CENTRAL																
Southern Michigan 4/	5,064	3.54	- 4.3	- 2.4	285.2	.47	- .6	+ 9.3	117.9	10.5	- 3.2	- 2.7	58.7	22.1	- 7.1	- 9.4
Toledo	494	3.53	- 6.0	- 5.2	37.3	1.20	+13.2	+35.5	12.2	11.0	-17.2	-15.3	4.3	22.7	-14.0	- 9.2
Northeastern Ohio	2,428	3.52	- 4.6	- 3.3	208.3	1.33	+ 7.6	+ 6.9	46.7	11.5	+ .9	+ .9	36.6	19.2	- 7.6	- 9.4
North Central Ohio	281	3.54	- 9.9	- 1.8	16.6	1.40	+ 9.0	+32.4	4.2	11.6	-13.2	- 3.2	2.1	23.2	-19.3	- 9.9
Columbus	675	3.49	- 2.4	- .5	42.3	.86	- 9.1	- .8	13.1	11.5	+ 9.5	- .9	7.9	20.9	- .1	- 2.4
Dayton-Springfield	571	3.53	- 8.1	- 2.1	59.0	1.42	+ 2.3	+ 9.5	10.6	11.8	- 6.0	- .5	5.7	23.1	- 2.2	- 4.2
Cincinnati 5/	908	3.52	- 2.8	+ .8	89.7	1.53	+ 2.4	+ 5.0	13.5	11.5	- 2.5	+ 4.9	8.6	22.1	- 5.3	- 8.3
Mich. Upper Peninsula 4/	269	3.55	- 2.4	- 1.2	14.8	.42	+ 7.8	+10.3	5.3	12.0	- 4.7	- 4.2	2.1	28.8	- .2	- 8.1
Northeastern Wisconsin:	693	3.51	- 2.7	- .6	64.3	.93	+11.3	+13.7	14.2	13.0	- 1.8	- 4.2	9.0	26.8	+12.0	+ 1.3
Milwaukee	993	3.50	+ 8.5	+ 3.8	137.7	1.36	+21.9	+24.9	23.5	12.4	+19.0	+10.9	14.4	25.3	- 5.0	+ 1.3
Chicago	4,941	3.48	- 5.1	- 2.3	440.5	1.45	- 2.5	+ 5.4	206.7	12.0	- 9.1	- 5.5	58.4	21.9	- 7.4	- 4.9
S. Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart:	320	3.64	- 5.8	- 3.8	41.3	1.79	0	+10.3	6.5	12.4	-11.0	- 6.3	2.2	27.0	- .8	-11.0
Fort Wayne 5/	141	3.56	+ 6.0	+ 4.9	21.5	1.67	+ 6.0	+13.6	3.0	12.3	+ 6.0	+ 3.1	1.3	24.0	+ 6.0	+ 2.1
WEST NORTH CENTRAL																
Minneapolis-St. Paul	1,190	3.50	- 3.4	- 1.4	207.9	.95	+23.2	+24.9	31.6	11.8	- 6.4	- 4.0	25.7	26.8	+ 9.1	+ 4.8
Sioux Falls-Mitchell	66	3.35	- 3.8	- 3.5	17.2	1.61	+11.1	+20.9	1.9	12.6	- 5.3	- 1.6	.7	31.3	- 4.6	- 1.5
Black Hills	82	3.43	+ 4.6	+ 3.4	6.5	1.02	+36.2	+40.7	1.7	12.5	+ 1.9	+ .8	.7	27.8	+ 7.3	+ .1
Central Iowa	331	3.47	- 11.3	- 2.7	58.8	1.55	+24.0	+12.3	10.9	12.5	- 8.6	- 5.4	3.6	24.7	- 5.5	+11.7
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City:	121	3.36	- 5.0	- 2.4	26.5	1.03	+ 5.3	+14.0	4.2	11.8	-10.0	- 5.0	1.1	25.7	- 3.1	+ 9.4
Des Moines	463	3.70	- 1.7	- .8	82.4	1.30	+ 4.9	+ 9.4	14.8	12.8	- 7.0	- 4.3	4.4	25.7	+ 8.3	+12.1
Sioux City	81	3.40	- 2.3	- .9	15.1	1.28	+ 5.4	+11.0	2.7	11.6	- 8.1	- 6.3	.7	27.6	+ 3.1	+11.2
Nebr.-Western Iowa 5/	455	3.48	- .6	+ .7	61.3	.81	+ 7.5	+13.3	14.7	11.9	- 5.6	- 3.3	4.2	24.8	+12.9	+ 4.9

Continued

Table 6.--Whole milk, skim milk items, milk and cream mixtures, and cream sold in marketing areas defined by Federal milk orders, for markets where such information is available, December 1961, with comparisons -Continued

Marketing area	Whole milk 1/				Skim milk items 2/				Milk and cream mixtures				Cream			
	Dec. 1961	Change, 1961 from 1960	Year :to :date	Pct.	Dec. 1961	Change, 1961 from 1960	Year :to :date	Pct.	Dec. 1961	Change, 1961 from 1960	Year :to :date	Pct.	Dec. 1961	Change, 1961 from 1960	Year :to :date	Pct.
	Daily average: :fat :content	Daily average: :fat :content	Daily average: :fat :content	Daily average: :fat :content	Daily average: :fat :content	Daily average: :fat :content	Daily average: :fat :content	Daily average: :fat :content	Daily average: :fat :content	Daily average: :fat :content	Daily average: :fat :content	Daily average: :fat :content	Daily average: :fat :content	Daily average: :fat :content	Daily average: :fat :content	Daily average: :fat :content
	1,000 lb.				1,000 lb.				1,000 lb.				1,000 lb.			
WEST NORTH CENT. -Con.																
St. Louis	1,081	3.37	- 5.4	- 3.5	155.5	1.77	+11.7	+17.4	19.4	11.9	- 4.3	+ 0.4	16.6	18.6	- 2.9	- 2.2
Ozarks	266	3.40	- 1.6	+ 1.6	27.8	1.35	+ 7.6	+ 6.7	5.2	12.2	- 4.1	+ 1.7	1.5	28.0	+ 7.2	+12.0
Kansas City	1,055	3.43	- 5.9	- 1.7	113.9	1.43	- 7	+ 2.9	20.8	12.1	- 1.4	+ 1.3	12.9	25.1	- 2.2	- 5.3
Neosho Valley	196	3.48	- 9.9	- 3.3	13.3	1.26	+ 2.1	+ 9.6	5.4	12.0	- 7.7	- 2.2	1.8	28.6	+15.4	+ 8.9
Wichita	312	3.35	- 4.6	- 3.5	20.6	.91	+ 6.8	+11.7	7.1	11.7	+ 1	- 2.0	2.2	26.7	+ 1.8	+ 4.6
Southwest Kansas	112	3.33	- 2.5	- 1.0	3.9	.89	+ 5.9	+ 6.6	2.3	11.6	- 1.1	- 4.4	.6	26.8	+11.4	+17.3
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL																
Louisville-Lexington 4/	836	3.67	- 4.2	- 1.0	92.6	1.38	- 1.5	- 3.8	10.8	12.1	+ .4	+ 3.0	6.2	25.6	- 7.0	- 6.5
Paducah 4/	119	3.72	- 1.8	+ 1.1	15.9	.96	+ 1.2	+ 2.3	.6	13.1	- 6.2	- 4.8	.7	30.9	+ 7.1	+13.2
Nashville 4/	484	3.56	- 1.7	+ 1.0	74.8	.66	- 5.2	- 3.0	4.3	12.3	-10.5	- 3.5	2.4	29.6	+ 4.6	- 8.3
Memphis 4/	408	3.52	- 1.7	+ 1.2	49.3	.64	- 4.8	- 3.7	4.7	12.1	+23.7	+ 6.7	4.7	21.8	-16.2	- 1
Knoxville	209	3.67	- 9.5	- 2.8	34.5	.74	- 6	- 3	1.3	12.2	+11.7	+ 9.9	1.4	27.1	+ 8.7	+ 5.1
Chattanooga	211	3.91	+ 1.7	+ .5	39.3	.74	+10.7	+ 4.8	1.1	12.2	+11.1	+25.3	1.2	27.1	-12.2	-13.9
Mississippi Delta	179	3.81	+ 1.2	- 1.2	25.7	.83	+ 8.6	+ 4.1	1.2	13.9	- 2.1	+ 1.5	.9	31.2	+ 2.6	- 6.4
Central Mississippi	340	3.74	- 3.8	0	40.0	1.12	+ 1.3	+ 4.3	4.6	13.2	+ .1	+ 3.9	1.6	30.3	+ 3.8	- 4.2
Mississippi Gulf Coast:	149	3.75	+ 1.1	+ 2.3	11.7	1.45	+ 8.3	+ 4.7	2.3	12.4	- 3.7	- 3.1	.4	25.1	- 1.2	-15.7
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL																
Central Arkansas	299	3.61	- 3.3	- 1.5	44.8	1.35	- 3.8	- 7	4.7	10.8	+ 7.2	+ 5.8	2.2	27.5	+13.2	+ 8.7
Oklahoma Metropolitan 4/	816	3.64	- 4.9	- 1.6	57.1	1.31	- 8.7	- 2.9	13.8	12.0	- 4.9	- .6	7.6	26.7	- .1	+ .1
Red River Valley	328	3.48	- 3.6	+ 5.3	26.7	1.07	+ 7	+ 6.4	3.8	12.0	- 1.4	+ 3.1	2.2	27.9	-12.0	+ 5.3
Texas Panhandle	228	3.53	- 6.0	- 2.7	20.3	1.56	+ 3.7	+10.6	3.4	12.7	- .3	- .9	1.9	28.6	+10.7	+ 7.7
Northern Louisiana	259	3.88	- .9	- .5	33.9	1.23	+ 4.6	+ 4.0	1.8	12.3	- 1.6	- 3.2	2.1	25.9	- 2.5	- 5.0
New Orleans	609	3.88	- .7	- .8	43.7	1.40	+15.8	+20.1	6.8	12.1	+ 4.8	+ 9.7	4.3	22.4	-10.6	- 8.8
North Texas	1,227	3.47	- 3.2	- 1	109.5	1.11	- 5.1	+ 1.4	22.5	12.1	- 3.1	- 1.2	13.2	29.6	+ 2.6	+ 1.3
Central West Texas	375	3.48	- 4.9	- 3.8	30.8	1.13	- 4.4	+ 1.4	5.4	12.4	- 4.4	+ 1.1	3.5	31.6	+15.3	- .1
Austin-Waco	373	3.53	- 4.7	- 2.5	29.2	1.07	- 1.5	+ 7.4	6.1	12.6	- 4.4	+ 1.9	2.8	29.7	+ 4.2	+ 3.6
San Antonio	437	3.46	+ 1.7	+ .5	25.9	1.06	+ .7	+ 7.3	7.7	12.3	- 7.3	- 4.5	2.9	23.6	- 5.0	- 3.4
Copius Christi	339	3.52	- 3.5	- 2.8	21.3	1.29	+ 2.6	+ 6.0	6.0	12.3	- 4.6	- 3.4	1.6	28.8	+12.5	+12.8
MOUNTAIN																
Great Basin	525	3.52	- 1.4	+ 1.1	127.2	1.76	+12.4	+16.2	8.7	12.4	+ .3	+ 1.9	8.4	30.7	+10.8	+ 5.3
Western Colorado	50	3.68	- 2.2	- 3.7	2.4	.56	-11.7	-10.1	1.3	12.6	-10.8	- 9.6	.3	31.3	+ 1.7	- 3.2
Colo. Springs-Pueblo	200	3.38	+16.7	- 1.2	15.3	1.24	+15.2	+11.6	4.1	12.1	+ 4.1	+ .5	1.7	27.2	-13.7	+ 2.3
Central Arizona	779	3.55	- 4.1	- 1.2	121.6	1.53	+23.8	+37.7	17.2	11.9	- .5	+ 2.5	6.4	25.5	+ 6.6	+11.1
PACIFIC																
Puget Sound	1,200	3.53	- 1.0	- 1.7	175.5	1.23	+13.7	+20.5	31.3	11.9	- 2.6	- 3.1	27.0	24.9	+ 6.6	+ .2
Inland Empire	199	3.60	-11.8	-10.7	52.6	1.53	+40.0	+51.0	5.0	11.9	- 8.1	- 5.5	3.3	28.6	- 2.4	- 3.0
Combined areas (65)	47,037		- 3.2	- 1.2	4,244.9		+ 5.3	+10.1								
Combined areas (62) 6/		3.56				1.16										
New York-New Jersey 7/	14,783		- .2	- 1.2					911.1	11.7	- 3.0	- 1.1	589.3	23.4	- .9	- 3.0

1/ Plain, flavored, and fluid equivalent of concentrated. 2/ Plain, fortified, and flavored skim and part skim milk, buttermilk, and cultured specialties.
3/ Sales for marketing area as defined prior to 1960 amendment. 4/ Sales for marketing area as defined after 1960 amendment. 5/ Sales for marketing area as defined prior to 1961 amendment. 6/ Excludes Boston, Springfield, and Worcester. 7/ Small amount of sales estimated.

Table 7.--Prices and economic indexes used in Federal milk order formulas to determine January 1962 prices, with comparisons

	Prices paid for manufacturing grade milk			Dairy product and unit			Market price		
	Jan. 1962	Dec. 1961	Jan. 1961	Jan. 1962	Dec. 1961	Jan. 1961	Jan. 1962	Dec. 1961	Jan. 1961
	Dol. per 100 lb. f.o.b. plant			Cents			Cents		
United States manufacturing plants, 3.5%	3.14	3.14	3.14	3.14	3.14	3.14	60.47	60.47	60.47
Minn.-Wis., manufacturing grade milk, 3.5% 1/	3.30	3.31	3.28	3.28	3.28	3.28	60.46	60.46	60.47
Midwest condenseries as listed in several orders, including Chicago, 3.5%	3.178	3.194	3.415	3.415	3.415	3.415	61.12	61.12	61.13
Midwest condenseries plus 4 local plants as listed in Suburban St. Louis, St. Louis, and Ozarks, 3.5%	3.10	3.11	3.28	3.28	3.28	3.28	61.21	61.38	61.33
Local plants as listed in:									
Tri-State, 3.5%	2.92	2.92	3.08	3.08	3.08	3.08	35.25	35.25	2/36, 75
Appalachian, 4.0%	3.15	3.15	3.24	3.24	3.24	3.24	37.38	37.38	39.76
Upstate Michigan, 3.5%	3.12	3.15	3.47	3.47	3.47	3.47	16.15	16.16	13.76
Muskegon, 3.5%	3.06	3.07	3.32	3.32	3.32	3.32	14.37	14.39	12.62
Southern Michigan, 3.5%	3.06	3.07	3.30	3.30	3.30	3.30	16.05	16.05	14.61
Cincinnati, 3.5%	2.88	2.89	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	15.68	15.68	13.80
Milwaukee, 3.5%	---	---	3.48	3.48	3.48	3.48	14.23	14.00	12.50
Fort Wayne, 3.5%	---	---	3.10	3.10	3.10	3.10			
Ohio Valley, 4.0%	3.18	3.19	3.29	3.29	3.29	3.29			
North Central Iowa, Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Quad Cities-Dubuque, Des Moines, 3.5%	3.30	3.31	3.38	3.38	3.38	3.38			
Sioux City, Nebraska-Western Iowa, 3.5%	3.30	3.31	3.39	3.39	3.39	3.39			
St. Joseph, 3.5%	2.99	2.98	---	---	---	---			
Kansas City, 3.5%	2.99	2.98	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.13			
Neosho Valley, 4.0%	3.30	3.29	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25			
Wichita, 3.8%	3.18	3.15	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.13			
Louisville-Lexington, 3.8%	3.22	3.22	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33			
Paducah, 3.5%	2.80	2.80	2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90			
Nashville, 4.0%	3.15	3.15	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25			
Memphis, 4.0%	3.15	3.15	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25			
Knoxville, 4.0%	3.15	3.15	3.24	3.24	3.24	3.24			
Chattanooga, 4.0%	3.15	3.15	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25			
Mississippi Delta, 4.0%	3.15	3.15	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25			
Central Miss., Miss. Gulf Coast, 4.0%	3.15	3.15	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25			
Central Arkansas, Fort Smith, 4.0%	3.27	3.24	3.22	3.22	3.22	3.22			
Oklahoma Metropolitan, 3.5%	---	---	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25			
Red River Valley, 3.5%	---	---	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25			
New Orleans, 4.0%	3.15	3.15	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25			
1/ Converted to 3.5% butterfat test by using Chicago butterfat differential.									
2/ Equivalent price determined by U.S.D.A.									
				Economic factor			Used in formula for month		
							Jan. : Dec. : Jan.		
							1962 : 1961 : 1961		
							Index		
				U. S. wholesale commodity prices, 1947-49=100:			118.8		
				Local factors, bases described in order:			118.7		
				New England:			119.6		
				Dairy feed price					
				Farm wage rates			102.45		
				Disposable personal income			107.16		
				Pennsylvania:			108.34		
				Dairy feed price			101.4		
				Farm prices other than dairy			91.3		
							92.7		
							98.8		
							100.2		